App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1928.29 (Misc) **File No.** 31-28

Serial Nos. 1 – 6

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 1 – 6

Part B for Feb 29.

SUBJECT

Lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Venkatara-
manaswamy temple at Kumsi in the Shimoga Dis

To The Misc Cmr?
No 1563 dy 9-2-29
Rejects the appeal petn in Sc 1, stating that there
are no grounds to interfere

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 31-

FILE HEADING.

Lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Kumsi in The Shimoga Dist.

FROM

Katte Upasaramacharya, Mantra Pushpa. Upadhi Sevadar

Appeal Petition Dated Received 4.7.28.

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No.
134	2	–	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Appeals against the H.C's order confirming the order of the D.C., Shimoga, directing the sale of the fruits of inam Re. S.Nos. 9, 13 and 15 intended as Remuneration for the said Services, and prays for an order directing the stay of the execu

NOTES AND ORDERS.

of the H.C's order appealed against.

1) The petition is stamped. An authenticated copy of the H.C's order appealed against has been produced. The H.C's order is dated 19th May 1928 while the appeal petition was received on 4.7.28 It is in time

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 21 of 192 6

Serial No.

2) Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Kumta is a huge institution endowed with inam lands. From a copy of the order appealed against, it is seen that the D.C. has directed that as the temple lands may be taken under Govt management and leased out for 5 years.

3) Against this order, Vyasaramachar Mantrapushpa Sevanand of the temple has appealed to Govt. His case is that the post is hereditary in his family, that the Muzrai Secretary has directed that he may enjoy the inam lands Nos 9, 13 & 15 as per Manual for in an account showing Govt. as remuneration for his work which he has been doing properly, that the Archak who is enjoying a major portion of the inam lands is responsible for "Kodi Mu" - repairs to the temple, as per manual, and as a result of Archaks neglect, the temple is in need of repairs, that instead of Archaks enchuring these lands, the D.C. has ordered the sale of the lands and the temple Govt prays that after hearing the parties under Govt may set aside the order so far as it

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 27 of 192 .C

Serial No.

1) Sale of the suit of his lands, may be set aside—
may a repeal with records be called for?

4) He further states that the main lands have continued in his family for a number of years (vide mainti Statement filed by his grand father in 1872) that he has improved these lands at a heavy outlay and labour, that he has raised crops of this year and prays that the records under may be ordered to be held in abeyance pending disposal of this appeal, as the local authorities are taking steps to deprive him of these lands.

5) Orders solicited on the above prayer for a stay order—
Submitted
[illegible]
27/7/23

Agreed)

6) No stay order.

7) Records may be called for
(1st number) 27/7/23

OFFICE OF THE FIRST [illegible] COUNCIL
28 JULY 1923
No 678

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01714—GPB —30,000—18-4 23

Agree

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 37 of 192 8

Serial No.

1) Dfts. encls submit
AM 1.8 28.
HG 7/8/28

2 To mus Court No 344 dy. 15 8 28
Forwards the petn
for report with records

3 Endt No 345 dy 15 8 28 to the petr
informs him of the
above & states that no stay
order can be given

No 346 dy 15 8 28 Copy to Mr. for info

4. From.
The mus Court in Mysore
Letter No 331 of 28-29 dy 1.12.28.
Forwards the subsidiary
records of the case with copy
of D's letter & also her
thumb seal

11) The Kumsi dy Amildari report of
20.9.27 clearly explains the facts of the case.
It will be seen therefrom that the archak &
the Sepadivantas including the present appellant
had agreed to the temple lands in their possession
& enjoyment being taken over under their
management temporarily for such time as
would be necessary to deprive from their annual

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 31 of 192 6

Serial No.

income, the expenditure that will have to be incurred for repairing the temple which is estimated to cost about Rs 3000/-. ~~The~~ In the resolutions passed at the meeting held with the temple on 8.9.27, the portion of the repairs to the temple was the chief one, and the proceedings of the meeting which embodies the above arrangement is signed by the Archak & all the ~~opponents~~ contestants including the appellant. We D.C. rejected the contention of the appellant that he is not liable to carry on repairs to the temple & directed that all the temple land may be taken over under proh. management and leased out for five years in his order dated 3.4.28 and this order was confirmed by the H.C. on appeal in his order dated 19th May 1928. The settlement record ~~included~~ in the file also shows that the land in question is a devadaya land with the enjoyment the appellant and not a service inam. As the appellant has failed to perform the service properly and allowed the temple to fall into decay & disrepair, the orders passed by the D.C confirmed by the H.C deserve to be

my
upheld.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 27 of 192

Serial No.

13) Re recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner to sell the right of cultivation of the lands for 5 years, upon a basis of remuneration to the Board & apportionment of the amounts which is found necessary for the service & repairs of the tanks & approved by both the Agent & the Myd Commissioner seems equitable.

14) If necessary the Board may be heard.

1st Member to 21st January 1929

15) Yes. May see portion of notices issued.

16) Dpt. notices submitted

AV 3/29.

Mr 27/1/29

17) This case was heard on the Appellant was present and represented Rao

There are no grounds to interfere with the Concurrent orders of the Deputy and Assistant Commissioners

21/1/29

Mr 27/1

1 DEC 1923 No. 3836

4345/21

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18 4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 261 of 192

Serial No.

19) Dft - for submn
to
25/11/35.

20) Phan puttu Cyclone
Dft[n] as revised
MD
28/1

21) Typed draft for sign.
MR
27/1/36

11. 4560

16th Member) MD

Is it necessary
Please make the order so long
Please make it very brief
The appeal is rejected and
Case
to 30.1.36
Phan Cyclone as revised
MD
31/1

The revised typed draft is C.1 mfr.
Appeal Petition addressed to the Members
by the Archak and 3 upadhivantars
including the present applt - Vyasarama
Acharya - placed on the file for
orders. The petrs say that during
the course of the argument of the
above cases, with Ref. to Vyasarama
Char's prayer for being left in
possession of his portion of the temple land

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 201 of 192 6

Serial No.

Subject to the payment of an annual
Reserve, and a reasonable amount
towards the repairs, the member
of council was pleased to make observe
the passing observation
That he was not prepared to
interfere with, and alter a Scheme of manage-
ment pertaining to 84 acres of
temple lands for the sake of 5 acres
a portion viz 5 acres of temple
land, the restoration of which was
prayed for by the apltt, and that
if all the temple servants had
come up in appeal, he would have
with consider
considered the question of the restora-
of the temple lands subject to
the payment of an annual
Reserve for repairs as was
orally ordered in another case probably
viz Case no. of wherein the lands
were ordered to be restored to the
deities subject to the payment
of an annual Reserve of Rs 50
for the renovation of the Lakshmi
Devi temple building. may further
state that the present dis. repaired
condition is due to the ravages
of the monkeys and pray that
they may all be heard, and the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

Documents to be produced by them perused before orders are passed, and that they will abide by any reasonable condition which Govt may be pleased to stipulate.

Against the petr's allegation that the Member will consider the question of the restoration of the temple lands etc, the Member has remarked " so. I did not hold out any such promise".

If it is ordered that - in the appln. may be heard before orders are issued in this case, ~~this appl~~ The present appeal will be posted for hearing with the next batch of cases, and notice issued to the temple Archak and upadhivantas — Orders solicited. Submn.

(S Kumbe.)

No. 4637

Draft appd
The Petitioners may be informed that Authority he has any representation to make they may do so. Mal. that officers and orders of the temple authorities will not be altered pending the appeal preferred by them

NOTE—Contn Sheet 39

General and Revenue Secretariat.

My File No. 31 of 192 8

Serial No.	
	To The Mary Court
5	No. 8 Rejection of appeal petn in old Statutory Rent case no grounds [illegible] We must submit (Para 25 of the office note). 12.2.28.
6	To Vyasaraja Chetty No 165 of 27-2-28 State that orders were issued rejecting the

Rejs
14/3

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

W RU

FIRST ... COIL
23 JULY 1928
No M.34...

ప్రేషకూరు జిల్లా మండలం వీరపల్లి గ్రామ నివాసులు శ్రీమాన్ కొలచేకాంజి వారసత్వపు హక్కుదారులు ఎం.ఎల్. కల్పట్టరాజు బి.ఎ. అయిన మీకు

Mun 31-28-1 D 134

సన్నిధానంనుండి.

శివమొగ్గా జిల్లా కుంసి సబ్‌కోర్టులో కుంసి టవర్ వారి కుంసి శ్రీ. వేంకటరమణాదేవర మంత్రపు ప్రవర్తకులైన వంశపారంపర్య ధర్మకర్తలు మీరు సమర్పించిన అర్జీ.—

తారీఖు. 20-7-1928

కుంసిరహస్యాదుల్లో ఉన్న పురాతనవాదవస్తువు కారణప్రసిద్ధమైన శ్రీ. వేంకటరమణాస్వామి దేవస్థానవిదే. ఈదేవర పరిపాలన ఉత్తరార్కాట్ జిల్లాలోని నాలుగు అయిదు పేరుపల్లు మిరుస్తే. ఈ జమీన్లు 1872 నాటి సనదుమూరినట్లు అయినాంధేట్ల మీంటు వేళ్ళు శ్రీ. దేవరికి ఖాయం చేసి తరతరాలు మిరుస్తే.

2.

ఈదేవస్థానదల్లి మంత్రపు ప్రవర్తులు పోయి కేలసపు నమ్మకమనేకనదల్లి ఉక్కు దారియూగి నూరారు వర్షాగళుంద నడెదువరుస్తోయిదే. ఈ నోక్రులు స్వీ ఈదేవస్థానదంతా మ్మి జమీన్లు ఫ్రైకియూళిసి పోవ్రూ మదమూ జీవనం వరు 47 వంత్తు 10 రిసవేన్ 9. 13. 15. నోనంబరుగళల్లి సనమూర్తులిసి వారసులు జమీన్ అయినామ్మి ఎంతూగి పోతరల్లు మీదే. ఈజమీన్ నూరారువర్షగళమోల్లు మీరు నమ్మకమనేకనోడవర స్థానాధీనానుభవదల్లి ఎంతో నడెదుబందు ధర్మాచీనసనదుపదినల్లి అయిరయిదే. 1872 నోయిస్సీంపుల్లి నమ్మకోశదారు వాస్తవరు మూఛార్థరు డాజర్ట్ ఆదయినాంస్వీ మోసం మోకం అయిదమోంది సిపరాంపరికోలుస్వీ డాజర్ట్ ఆరంభ్యే నే. 1918 నేయిస్సీంపుల్లి ఈ వ్యజరాంయి శిరస్తే దారరవ

రింద ఈ పోలీసు స్టేషన్ పరిధిలో ఉన్న ప్రతి ఒక్కరూ ఈ విషయాన్ని
ఖచ్చితంగా పాటించవలసిందిగా కోరుతున్నాము. ఎవరైనా దొంగతనాలు
దోపిడీలు మొదలైన నేరాలకు పాల్పడినట్లు తెలిస్తే వారి పేర్లను నమోదు
చేసుకోవడం జరుగుతుంది. అలాగే వారిపై తగిన చర్యలు తీసుకోవడం
జరుగుతుంది. ఇట్లు మీ విధేయులు

3.

ఈ పోలీసు స్టేషన్ పరిధిలో

ఈ నిరుపకారణ పరిధిలో ఈ ఎన్నికలు జరుగుతున్నట్లు: స్థానిక
దొరవారి ఆదేశాలు మేరకు ఈ ఎన్నికలు జరుగుతున్నట్లు
ఎన్నికల అధికారులు 1928 సంవత్సరం 19వ తేదీ
19వ తారీఖున ఎన్నికలు జరుగుతాయి. పైన తెలిపిన
నిబంధనలకు లోబడి ఎన్నికలు జరుగుతాయి. ఈ ఎన్నికలలో
ఓటు వేయడానికి అర్హులైన వారి పేర్లు ఈ పోలీసు
స్టేషన్ పరిధిలో ఉన్నట్లు:

1 ఈ ఎన్నికల పూర్వం నుండి దొరవారి
ని ఎవరు వ్యతిరేకిస్తున్నట్లు: ఎవరైతే ఉన్నట్లు. అటువంటి
వారు ఎన్నికలలో పోటీ చేయడానికి వీలులేదు. అదేవిధంగా
దొరవారి ఆదేశాలు మేరకు ఎన్నికలు జరుగుతున్నట్లు:
ఎవరైతే ఉన్నట్లు. ఈ ఎన్నికలలో పోటీ చేయడానికి
అర్హులు. ఆ విధంగా ఎవరైనా కొలువులో ఉన్న పూర్వపు నిధిని
ఎవరు వ్యతిరేకిస్తున్నట్లు. అటువంటి వారు ఎన్నికలలో పోటీ
చేయడానికి వీలులేదు. ఎందుకనగా ఎన్నికలలో పోటీ చేసే
అభ్యర్థులు ఎన్నికల ఖర్చుల నిమిత్తం 100 — 120 రూపాయలు
ఎన్నికల అధికారికి చెల్లించవలసి ఉంటుంది. ఈ మొత్తం ఎవరి
వద్ద లేకపోతే వారు ఎన్నికలలో పోటీ చేయడానికి వీలులేదు.
ఎన్నికలలో పోటీ చేసే అభ్యర్థులు ఎన్నికలలో ఓటు వేయడానికి
ఓటరు జాబితాలో పేరు నమోదు చేసుకోవలసి ఉంటుంది. ఓటరు
జాబితాలో పేరు నమోదు చేసుకోవడానికి ఎవరైనా ఓటరు
యొక్క ప్రవర్తన మంచిదని ధృవీకరించవలసి ఉంటుంది.
ఓటరు జాబితాలో పేరు నమోదు చేసుకోవడానికి ఓటరు
యొక్క ప్రవర్తన మంచిదని ధృవీకరించవలసిన వ్యక్తి ఓటరు
యొక్క తండ్రి లేదా తాతగారు అయి ఉండాలి. ఓటరు
యొక్క ప్రవర్తన మంచిదని ధృవీకరించవలసిన వ్యక్తి
ఓటరు యొక్క తండ్రి లేదా తాతగారు కాకపోతే ఓటరు
యొక్క ప్రవర్తన మంచిదని ధృవీకరించవలసిన వ్యక్తి
ఓటరు యొక్క ప్రవర్తన మంచిదని ధృవీకరించడానికి
ముందు ఓటరు యొక్క ప్రవర్తన మంచిదని ధృవీకరించవలసిన
వ్యక్తి ఓటరు యొక్క ప్రవర్తన మంచిదని ధృవీకరించడానికి
కారణమేమిటో తప్పనిసరిగా వివరించవలసి ఉంటుంది.

నం. యింక్రోజమీద గళన్ను దేవస్థానవు సొకరరు గళాంద
నమ్మ స్థాధీనదల్లియీవ ఆస్తి కొంతభాగకేందు పశ్నార దవరు
దంబరు 2594—602 శాఖ 25-2-1919 నోప్పుకుం
366—18
మాఁతరు శ్రీ. యిదన్ను అనుభవిసి జమీన్ నమ్మ స్వాధీనవిట్టిద్దరు
లు న్యాయవిరువుదిల్ల, ఈదేవస్థానదఱిగే కరస్థాధీన
దల్లి దేవస్థాన దవట్టు గు, సొత్తి పైకి అరివాసిజమీన్ కు
మూరు ఒం ఎకళింరు ప్పట్టు యిరుత్తే. యిదర లుక్కె త్తి పైకి అ
వరవేశన, నందాదీఫ, నైవేద్య, వుత్సవ "డంబుళి" అంబ్రీ
దేవస్థాన దకట్టకభవిందూదక్షిణియల్లి ట్టు కొళ్ళవక్కదు
భడపూర్వదపద్ధతిఅనుభవిసి అవరకేలస్వామిరుత్తే. యిదన్ను అనుభవిసి ముజరాయి శిరస్తే దారరవరు భడభక్తరిగే కొల్లు అవరేమాతరవక్కద్దెందు తరాపు మాతిరుత్తారే. ఈబాబ్తు విషాదన్ను శంసిపిరాంబవిసోణా వాగదుత్తే కు. హిందక అవరవకాశవాయితేవి నడు యిదక్కే సావుజవాబ్దారరల్ల. ఈవిచారదల్లి నమగే పైనభర్త్యారదిందమీ నవ్వ కోయూసు త్తే అదరంతే నతీయలు సిద్ధవాగిరుత్తేనే. —

2. మావందరవరు మేలాడేళిద విల్లావిప్పయుక్త
ళన్నా శేమ్మభువు మావతీరాజనేనిళే కీదుకొంతు దయు
లిట్టే యిదక్కే శ్రీ భవన్నింద పట్టిరికొర్తుబిరథూతికొంతు
నన్ను న్ను నోడి మీరీమూల్కరళీసి నన్ను విచారణేయుంతివే
లాడేళిదమే జరాయి కమీష్నరళీ బాడలవరవరు కుం
వరు మాతిసి నమ్మజమీన్ పూర్వదంతేంతే నమ్మ స్థా
ధీనదల్లియిరిసి దొంతక్కు పటెంబి అంతూద నన్ను న్ను తొం
భత్తరళీతొనిబడుదేన్న దింద చేతికొళ్ళుత్తేనే —

ముజరాయికమీష్నరళీబాడలవర
రకుంఅనుసరిసి మూరారువర్షగళ మేల్పట్టు న
న్న స్థాధీనదల్లియిరిత్తు యి నొత్తి జమీనన్ను నన్నే

ద్వారా. ఈ జిల్లాలోని నిమ్మ్నాసనీయులు వళ్ళమూలి ఈవ
ప్రసన్నులు పేరు వుమూలిరుక్మిణి. జిల్లా కోర్టు
పిలిచిదల్లి బతవనాదనాను. మళ్ళు సిద్ధంగుతోలేనో.
లిద్దరిందమడాప్పిళ్ళు ఇగళవరు ఈనన్నరిక్షీ
లు శాపు ప్రేక్షలు ఎలాతులవళిగూంలవు ల్డాక్రు
వరు జాతిక్రమనతనిశ మీలో నన్నకోర్టిక్షిప్పి
భక్తంతదానిశిక్షగొరు కొంకొంట్లు వు ద్వారమూ
తబ్లోకాసిబ్లోరుతోలేనో.—

శ్రీ నాగభూషణ శర్మ

Letter No 344 31-28-2 dt 15/8/28
from the Financial Secretary to the
Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore to the Inspl of
Commrs in Mysore.

"I am directed to forward herewith
for an early report with records
petition No 134 from Katte Nyasarama-
-Charya, Mantra Pushpa servant &
Sri Venkataramana Swami at Kunjs
appealing against your order directing
the sale of the gutta of inam S. Nos
9, 13 and 15 interests as rent under
for the rain service.

Office of the Inspl Commrs
in Mysore
No C 331/28-29 dated, 21st/22nd August 1928

Memo

Copy of the above together with petition
and its enclosures is forwarded to the
Deputy Commr, Shimoga District for the
early submission of a report together
the connected records on the subject.

Sd- R. A. Narasimhaiyangar
for Inspl Commrs.

Office of the Deputy Commr
Shimoga Dist
No. Insp-C 466/28-29 Dated 3rd September 1928

Memo

Copy forwarded to the Sub-Asst Commr, Nagar
for early submission of the connected
records with a detailed report on all the
points raised in the petition.

for Deputy Commr
Shimoga Dist

ASSISTANT
No. 24.15.
NAGARTHALLI SUB-DIVISION,
SHIMOGA DIST.
5/9

IBJECT.....

.....

.....

ary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Mis

File No. 31-28

Serial No. 2--

a D" / No. 344 Rev 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The M. C.

Date of issue 15/8/28

Signed by. for Jt Sec. Revenue

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for an early report with records, petition no. 134 from Rathe Vyasaramachary, mantra Pushpa Servant of Sri Venkataramana Swamy at Kumsi appealing against your order directing the sale of the Gutta of inam 5 nos of 15 and 15 attached as remuneration for the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 0325—GPB—37 000—26 5·27

No. 331 Sw. Files 28-9

Muzrai
OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, 29th November 1928.

1/12

FROM

C. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

1 DEC. 1928
No

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., L.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore Miscellaneous Department,

D.Dr.826.
Muz 31-28-4

Finance Department.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith Collector's letter No.34/Muz.31-28-2 dated 19th May 1928 forwarding the report and accounts of the Vaishnava Dharmadarshi, Sastrigal of Sarvabhouma Vaishnava Mutt at Kumsi and to say that the Collector's proposals in paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of his letter under reference for the grant of a permanent service, I have the honour to submit a draft order on the subject of vernacular records received with the Collector's letter No.Muz.3.4(c)-28 dated 2nd August 1928 (Superintendent's case) which is the first to be issued in the case. The Collector files No.D.Dis.71 of 27-4 dated 15th May 1928 containing the order called for is returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

Letter No.Muz.C.466/28-29 dated 23rd November 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

As desired in your memo No.C.331/28-29 dated 22-8-1928, I have the honour to submit herewith the entire file of records connected with the appeal to Government by Vyasaramachar, the Mantrapushpa Upadhivanthe in the temple of Sri Venkataramanaswami at Kumsi.

The report of the Deputy Amildar Muz.C.22/26-27 dated 26-9-1927 (Flag A.) and this office order dated 5-4-28 clearly explain in detail the facts of the case and the reasons for dispossessing the appellant of the inam lands granted to the institution. It is stated that as the result of the action taken in accordance with this office order, the temple has to its credit a sum of Rs.1302-12-9 at the end of October 1928. Action is also being taken to get the buildings repaired early, as it is stated to be in a very dilapidated condition owing to the neglect of the archak and the Upadhivanthas.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

No.Dep.26-1-29



28

26-1-28

"not bind out any such promise"
Mary
26.12.29

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Appeal No. , of 1928-29

Appellant. *Vs.* Respondent

Vyasaramacharya, Mantrapushpa and Upadhi Servant.

Subject.

Appeal against the Muz. Commr's. Order confirming the order of the D.C. Shimoga, directing the sale of the Gutta of Inam Resurvey Nos. 9, 13 and 15 intended as remuneration for the Carfam services in the temple of Sri Venkataramanadevaru at Kumsi.

O r d e r .

The case was heard on 21-1-1929. The appellant was present and was represented by Mr. D. Vasudeva Rao.

Though lands have been assigned for the several Upadhi Services of the temple, none of these services is being regularly performed by the Upadhivantas, they have allowed the buildings to fall into disrepair inspite of warnings and persuasions although there was a

GPB—1 000—8-8-11

specific condition attached to the grant of keeping the buildings in proper condition. A sum of at least Rs. 3000/- is said to be required to effect repairs to the building.

The D.C. has accordingly ordered that the right of cultivation of the lands might be sold for 5 years from February 1928 and a scale of remuneration to the Archak and Upadhivantas might be drawn up and fixed in accordance with rules. The Muz. Commr. has also upheld the above decision of the D.C.

Mr. Vyasaramachar has now appealed to Govt. against these orders and prays that the Inam lands intended as remuneration for his services may be separated from ~~xxxxx~~ other temple lands, and left in his possession subject to the payment of an annual reserve fund, and also a stipulated amount towards the temple repairs. Prot to be carried out.

Govt. see no grounds to interfere with the concurrent orders of the Deputy and Muzrai Commrs. The appeal petition is accordingly rejected.

Muza 2.2.29

1563 ---- Jud. Files

Memo No. dated 3-2-1929

Copy to the Muz. Commr. with the records received with his letter No. 331 dated 1-12-28.

By order,

Finl. Secy. to Govt.

1/2/29

(G)

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Appeal No. 9 of 1928-29

Appellant. *Vs.* Respondent

Vyasaramacharya, Mantra-pushpa and Upadhi servant.

Subject.

Appeal against the Muz. Commr's. Order confirming the order of the D.C. Shimoga, directing the sale of the Gutta of Inam Re-survey Nos. 9, 13 and 15 intended as remuneration for the said service in the temple of Sri Venkataramana ~~swami~~ devaru at Kumsi.

Order

The case was heard on 21-1-29. The appellant was present and represented by Mr. D. Vasudeva Rao.

The records show that though lands have been assigned for the several Upadhi services of the temple, none of these services is being regularly performed by the Upadhivantas; that they are merely enjoying the lands without doing their share of service on the specified days; and they have allowed the buildings to fall into disrepair inspite of warnings and persuasions although there was a specific condition attached to the grant of keeping the

GPS—1,000—8-8-27

buildings in proper condition with the result that a sum of at least Rs. 3000/- is required to effect repairs to the building.

The Deputy Amildar accordingly proposed in September 1927 with a view to the renovation of the building that the lands may be taken possession of by Govt. immediately together with the crops thereon which might be sold at the next harvest season and the right of cultivation of the lands might be sold for five years from February 1928 and a scale of remuneration to the Archak and Upadhivantas might be drawn up and paid in accordance with rules. This was approved by the Sub-Division Officer and the Deputy Commissioner. A regular appeal was preferred by Vyasaramachar to the Deputy Commissioner stating that he should not be made to suffer for the fault or negligence of the Archak, but the Deputy Commissioner upheld his previous order and stated that if Vyasaramachar undertook to perform the service required of him without complaint he might be paid according to the scale and nothing more. The Hr. Commr. has also upheld the above decision of the Deputy Commissioner.

The appellant has appealed to Govt. against these decisions and prays that the Inam lands intended as remuneration for his services may be separated from other temple lands, and left in his possession subject to the payment of annual reserve fund, and also a stipulated amount towards the temple repairs.

Govt. see no grounds to interfere with the concurrent orders of the Deputy and Muzrai

Muzrai Commissioners. The appeal petition is accordingly rejected.

Memo No. dated

Copy to the Muz. Commr. with the records received with his letter No. 331 dated 1-12-28

B. O.

30/1

Finl. Secretary.

(6)

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Appeal No. 9 of 1928-29

Appellant | Respondent

Vyasaramacharya, Mantrapushpa and Upadhi Servant.

Subject.

Appeal against the Muzrai Commissioner's Order confirming the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, directing the sale of the Gutta of Inam Resurvey Nos. 9, 13 and 18 intended as remuneration for certain services in the temple of Sri Venkataramanadevaru at Kumsi.

O r d e r .

The case was heard on 21st January 1929. The appellant was present and was represented by Mr. D. Vasudeva Rao.

Though lands have been assigned for the several Upadhi Services of the temple, none of these services is being regularly performed by the Upadhivantas. They have allowed the buildings to fall into disrepair inspite of warnings and persuasions although there was a specific condition attached to the grant of keeping the buildings in proper condition. A sum of at least Rs. 3,000/- is said to be required to effect repairs to the building.

The Deputy Commissioner has accordingly ordered that the right of cultivation of the lands might be sold for five years from February 1[illegible]

GPB—1,000—S-8-11

and a scale of remuneration to the Archak and Upadhivanthas might be drawn up and fixed in accordance with rules. The Muzrai Commissioner has also upheld the above decision of the Deputy Commissioner.

Mr. Vyasaramachar has now appealed to Government against these orders and prays that the Inam lands intended as remuneration for his services may be separated from other temple lands, and left in his possession subject to the payment of an annual reserve fund, and also a stipulated amount towards the temple repairs now to be carried out.

Government see no grounds to interfere with the concurrent orders of the Deputy Commissioner and Muzrai Commissioner. The appeal petition is accordingly rejected.

Memo No. 1560 Mus 31-B-5 dated, Bangalore, the 6th February 1929.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with the records received with his letter No. 331 dated 1st December 1928.

By order,

K. Samaria Rao

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

SUBJECT..... .....

... .

....

Section Mer 2

File No. 31-28

Serial No. 6.

No. 1653 Encls

Diary No. of letter replied to: .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

....

Date of issue.. 20/12/28

Signed by .....

- Endorsement granted to Rao Vyasaramacharya, Manthrapushpa and Upadhi Servant of Sri Venkataramana Devaru Temple at Kumbli with Ref. to his petition no. 24 dy 20th July 1928 appealing against the M.C.'s order confirming the order of the D.C. Shimoga directing the Sale of the fruit trees Re. S. over 8

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-26

intended as remuneration
for certain services in the
temple.

The petr is informed that
orders have been issued
to the effect that there
are no grounds to interfere
with the concurrent orders
of the D.C. and M.C. appealed against
that these orders cannot
be altered, and further
that if he has any
representation to make
he may make it to
local officers.
(2) The ends are returned

B.O.

DO) 2 I.C. seen

Copy to the Addl. D.C. 20-2-29
in charge of C.S.O.

1563/Mag. 31-28-5 dt 9.2.1929

B.O.

Addl. D.C. seen